



**Town of West Boylston**  
140 Worcester Street, West Boylston, Massachusetts 01583

## **Board of Selectmen/Sewer Commission Meeting Minutes**

<b>Date / Time / Location of Meeting</b> <b>November 15, 2017; 6:30 p.m.;Rm</b> <b>210 Town Hall</b>
--

<b>Members Present</b> <b>John W. Hadley, Chairman</b> <b>Christopher A. Rucho, Vice Chair</b> <b>Barur R. Rajeshkumar, Clerk</b> <b>Patrick J. Crowley, Selectman</b> <b>Siobhan M. Bohnson, Selectman</b>
--

<b>Members NOT Present</b>
----------------------------

<b>Invited Guests:</b>
------------------------

**Welcome – Call to Order**

**Time: 6:30 pm**

Motion Mr. Rucho at 6:30 p.m. to enter into executive session under the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 30a, Section 21(A), Part 3 to discuss strategy with respect to ongoing litigation (Wayne's Weaponry and Chairman declares that an open meeting may have a detrimental effect on the negotiating position of the public body) and Part 2 to discuss contract negotiations with non-union personnel (the building commissioner), seconded by Mr. Rajeshkumar. The Chairman so declared and stated that the Board would return to open session. Roll call vote: Mr. Rajeshkumar yes, Mr. Rucho yes, Mr. Hadley yes, Mr. Crowley yes, Ms. Bohnson yes. David Femia, Chairman of the Zoning Board of Appeals will join the Board for the session.

Motion Ms. Bohnson at 7:20 p.m. to come out of executive session, seconded by Mr. Crowley. Roll call vote: Mr. Rajeshkumar yes, Mr. Crowley yes, Mr. Hadley yes, Ms. Bohnson yes, Mr. Rucho yes.

### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

No one is present for this agenda item.

### **APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES:**

#### **November 1, 2017, regular session**

Motion to Accept: Mr. Rajeshkumar

Seconded: Mr. Rucho

Result: All in favor

#### **November 8, 2017, regular session**

Motion to Accept: Mr. Rajeshkumar  
Seconded: Mr. Crowley  
Result: All in favor

**Review and vote to approve warrants for the period covering November 2, 2017 through November 15, 2017: payable warrants for the town FY2018-19 and 20, payroll warrant FY2018 #10, sewer enterprise warrant FY2018-SE-13, school warrant FY2018 S-8, and Municipal Light Plant Warrant FY18 #11**

Motion to Accept: Mr. Crowley  
Seconded: Mr. Rucho  
Result: All in favor

**Public Hearing in accordance with General Bylaws of the Town of West Boylston Article XXIII, Public Hearing and Notice – consider amending Policy J-2, Social Media Policy**

Mr. Hadley opened the public hearing and Mr. Rajeshkumar read the following notice. Public notice is hereby given, in conformity with the requirements of the General Bylaws of the Town of West Boylston, ARTICLE XXIII - PUBLIC HEARING AND NOTICE, that the Board of Selectmen will meet on Wednesday, November 15, 2017 at 7:15 p.m. for the purpose of considering amendments to Policy J-2 Social Media Policy. The meeting will be held in the Selectmen's Meeting Room of Town Hall, 140 Worcester Street, West Boylston. For additional information, or to review the proposed information, please contact the Office of the Board of Selectmen/Town Administrator at 774.261.4012. All interested persons, groups, and agencies are invited to attend. John W. Hadley, Chairman, advertised on November 1 and 8, 2017,

This is being brought forward at the request of the Board who wanted us to look at updating our social media policy. There was concern about the use of Facebook. Ms. Lucier worked with Karen Paré on this project, reviewing samples from other communities. Staff was also asked for their input. Changes to the policy are underlined. Mr. Rucho would like to see when a department posts who posts, or it should have a disclaimer that this is the official site of the whatever department and is not the town's point of view. Fire Chief Tom Welsh, who has a Facebook account for his department, states that they have an internal policy that requires those who are authorized to post items to run them through the chief. They have two people who have permission to post and the chief approves those posts. They post social type events or thank you to members of the department for their years of service. Within the proposed Social Media Policy there is language that under the Policy section which speaks to who will post and who approves the posting. Ms. Scheipers questioned why there is such a concern, in the event we find there are issues with posts it will become a personnel issue. It is a responsibility of the department head and the Town Administrator to insure what is being done is common sense and appropriate. Mr. Crowley pointed out that this just cleans up the policy. Chief Welsh stated that he reviewed his site, went back two years and found positive comments. There was a fire and people wanted to donate money. There is no one is present who wishes to speak on this agenda item.

Motion Mr. Rajeshkumar to close the hearing, seconded by Mr. Crowley, all in favor.

Motion Mr. Rajeshkumar to approve the policy with a disclaimer about the comments, seconded by Mr. Crowley, all in favor. Mr. Rucho requested a copy of the internal policies from the various departments.

## **DPW DIRECTOR VERNON JACKSON**

### **1.Consider approving an additional \$28,932.88 of Chapter 90 funds for the Newton Street Drainage Remediation Project**

Mr. Jackson informed the Board that LEI, our engineering firm for the project, is in need of additional funds for this project. The road is now open and they are topping it off. In the spring they will adjust structures and we will be at \$380,000 when we are done. We are holding back 10% for retainage. Mr. Crowley questioned how we could have a \$28,000 change order. Ms. Scheipers explained that LEI went out and completed the work over and above the contract and they could be out some of this money. It is also important to recognize is it legitimate work.

Mr. Crowley voiced concern that they gave us a price, did the work, and they cannot now turn around and say you asked us to do other things and now this is what you owe us. He went ahead with the work without requesting additional funds. It was agreed that there would need to be further conversation about this request and no action will be taken at this time. Mr. Jackson will come back with a negotiated figure.

Mr. Jackson reports that the Newton Street binder and curbing are down and the road is now open. Thomas Street is completed and lower Prospect is just about done. Friday Upper Prospect and Woodland will be done. Kings Mountain will start tomorrow and the contractor, Polito, is doing the work for \$85,365 and wants to be finished by Thanksgiving. November 26<sup>th</sup> line painting will be done. The 140 south basin project is finished and the Beaman Street project will be finish on Monday. Mr. Rajeshkumar suggested not putting the cones in the streets. Mr. Jackson explained that he was concerned that the yellow lines hadn't been painted yet. With regard to the Route 140/Boylston line drainage/pavement project, Mr. Rucho wants to insure we get better signage. It was discussed and promised to the town during the public hearing on the project.

## **NEW BUSINESS:**

### **1.Consider voting to sign three-year renewal Agreement By and Among Wachusett Towns and Wachusett Earthday, Inc. for Regional Collection Program for Household Hazardous Products and Recycling**

There are no changes to the agreement, which is renewed every three years. In addition the budget for the town's membership will be level funded for FY19.

Motion Mr. Crowley for the Board to sign the renewal agreement, seconded by Ms. Bohnson, all in favor.

### **2.Review and approve Cox Dog Hearing New Order**

Ms. Scheipers explained that the Board voted to adopt an Order at their October 16<sup>th</sup> meeting which outlined 12 conditions they needed to comply with in order for the owner to retain the dog. Unfortunately, after receiving an update from Assistant Animal Control Officer Steve Jones there were terms not being complied with and it necessitated another hearing on November 8<sup>th</sup>. The owner of the dog did not attend the hearing. Testimony was provided by Assistant Animal Control Officer

Steve Jones that he went to the house and witnessed the dog outside without the required muzzling and none of the self-closing hinges on the exterior of the doors, another requirement of the order, had been installed. The owner also did not comply with the additional insurance requirement and he was to provide a copy to the town. Mr. Jones also asked the dog owner to contact him, left his contact information, and he did not receive a return call. We also received one written police report detailing a call from a Mr. Scesny who stated that the dog was seen outside of the home on a leash but without a muzzle. There are five violations of the original order and we were very clear that if there were any violations there would be reconsideration of allowing the dog to remain in the community. After conversation with Town Counsel and the Assistant Animal Control Officer, the town is obligated to take additional steps. The hope was that the dog owner would comply with the order, they have not, and Ms. Scheipers is requesting the Board to approve this very difficult decision of having the dog, after a 24-hour period place in a secure shelter paid for by the owners and after ten days the dog will be humanely euthanized at the expense of the owner. The only thing they did do were to vaccinate and license the dog. Sarah Nunnemacher, present at the meeting stated that on September 22 the dog bit her and attacked her dog. She is concerned about the safety of the neighborhood, elderly, children and other dogs. People feel unsafe and the dog attacked another man a couple of weeks after. Tim Nett, also in attendance states that he doesn't not feel safe allowing his children to walk their dog up and down the street. Ms. Nunnemacher stated this has to do with the negligence of the dog owner and they are not taking the guidelines serious.

Ms. Scheipers advised that there was a representative for the dog owner present at the first hearing and they indicated they were getting the dog muzzle trained and they would modify the doors. Unfortunately, when the Assistant Animal Control Officer went to the home no modification was done to the doors and there was no proof of insurance, which is key, because if another individual were to be injured, it is there to make sure there is an ability to compensate that individual for injuries caused by the dog. If we do not move ahead and take the proper enforcement we could be considered negligent.

Motion Mr. Rucho to approve the November 15<sup>th</sup> Notice, seconded by Mr. Crowley. Mr. Rajeshkumar stated that he feels sorry for the neighbors but this is not the dog, it is the owner not taking care of the dog. He questions because of the owner is at fault do you think we should euthanize the dog. He asked if there is any other way we could give them more time. Mr. Hadley added that he loves dogs too but we have to protect the neighbors. Mr. Rajeshkumar asked if we could fine the owners or put the dog up for adoption. Ms. Scheipers explained that the state gives us guidelines, they have violated the dog order requirements, and they have ignored things. Mr. Rajeshkumar asked if they are ignoring this or is it financial. Ms. Scheipers noted that the muzzle and self-closing hinges are low cost items. She is sure there is a cost for the insurance but does not know that cost. Mr. Crowley stated that if the family was serious about complying with this order they would be here tonight. He agrees it is not the dog's fault. Mr. Rajeshkumar asked if there is anything in the law the town can do. Ms. Scheipers states this is the mechanism established by state law. The owner does have the ability to appeal the decision and we cannot order the dog to be removed from town. The letters were hand delivered by a police officer. Ms. Bohannon added that if the owner cared about the dog they would be here. She believes we need to protect our citizens, and if they loved the dog they would find a way to take care of the dog. Mr. Rajeshkumar asked if there is anything in the law instead of euthanizing, maybe a fine. Mr. Rucho thought the first letter was reasonable. Ms. Scheipers advised that if they appeal, it was recommended by town counsel, that we petition the court

to take custody of the dog during the appeal process. We will not be kenneling the dog. It would be better to have it in a kennel that is more secure and the expenses would be bourn by the dog owner. If they do not pay those costs we will put a lien on their property. The state law requires a ten-day appeal period. Mr. Rucho asked if we send this letter and they do everything within the ten-day period, what happens. Ms. Scheipers states you would have the ability to have another hearing and reconsider. Vote on the motion – Messrs. Hadley, Rucho, Crowley and Ms. Bohnson yes; Mr. Rajeshkumar no.

## **OLD BUSINESS**

### **1. Review of Trash Override Original Value and Current Day Value**

The original override amount was \$200,000, current value of the override is \$370,788.82, and we took in \$149,700 in bag fees. The Board will not consider increasing bag feels so people would recycle more. Ms. Bohnson asked when we began pay as you throw. We will research that. Mr. Crowley explained that once an override is passed after the first year you can use the funds for anything you want. We also have the advantage of the bag fees going towards the budget.

## **TOWN ADMINISTRATOR’S REPORT:**

### **1. Annual Review of Revolving Fund Activity**

Ms. Scheipers reports that there are two areas of concern. The first is the ZBA Revolving Fund which has decreased 87% since the start of FY2017. They are taking steps to reduce their expenses. Also of concern is the Recreation Revolving Fund, however, with the hiring of the new Director, we expect to see new programs starting up and an increase in revenue as the programs are self-funded. We are on target with the other revolving fund activity. Mr. Crowley questioned what the solar fund lease payment fund will be used for. It will be used for the monitoring of the new wells and the Municipal Light Plant will be paying for the other annual monitoring. Should that fund build up a large balance it can be used for other town facilities.

### **2. Permitting and Land Use Boards Collaboration**

We held a land use board meeting to discuss ways to improve collaboration and communication amongst one another. They will be working on identifying and establishing improved sharing of information and communications. They plan to meet again in December and we will keep the Board updated.

### **3. Cemetery Land Acquisition Update**

The Cemetery Department has developed the report, which is included in the agenda. They voted to approve the draft report which was prepared by one of their members. They found one property which they would give strong consideration to. We will need to issue a RFP and hope the owner of the property submits a proposal.

### **4. Annual Town Administrator’s Commitment to Excellence Award**

This is an annual award and we are seeking pubic nominations. Details on how to nominate are on the Town Administrator’s page of the website and nominations are due by December 31<sup>st</sup>.

## 5. Miscellaneous updates

Ms. Scheipers reports that the US Census is beginning to prepare for the 2020 census and they are looking for involvement from communities. They will provide us with a data base of all the known address, which will be helpful information for us to have and we will review the data and provide any updated information.

Ms. Scheipers reports that Charter Communications has had a change in their Government Representative. She has not been able to connect with Anna Lucey, who is new to the position. Her role is not to take calls from residents. If anyone feels they are not getting a response from Charter they are welcome to call Ms. Scheipers and she will bring the issues to her.

Update on Fire Station. Chief Welsh explained that they are ready to move ahead on the based asbestos abatement work. He will use the \$40,000 which was appropriated a year and a half ago for the work. Once he gets his bids he may need to request additional funds from the Municipal Buildings Committee. We have identified what needs to be removed and the next step is having a third party come in as some of the product, the holes can be filled and other need to be removed. DEP says if the material is in good shape you do not have to touch it. If it is flaking we need to remove it. This is the time to do the work while no one is in there. Chief Welsh noted that they have had the building tested annually and the results are fine. The company will come in and tell us everything that needs to be done and the cost. Mr. Rucho would rather remove the asbestos. The Chief noted that the hot areas are under the stairway, and joints under the communications room where concrete has vibrated over the years. Other areas are intact and can be left that way. They came up with 200 square feet needs to be removed. Ms. Scheipers added that this is work that will be done to allow the Fire Department to take over the space vacated by the Police Department and it gives FISP time to look at a long-term plan about the Fire Department taking over the police department space. Chief Welsh stated that his fear is the Fire Department is not on the radar with FISP and he would like to address the area of concern. We are going to see how much can get done with the \$40,000. Ms. Bohnson asked if the desks in the police department area had been removed. Chief Welsh advised that they have not had access to the area, they will try to expand into the space, one locker has to be removed and the evidence needs to be moved.

Ms. Scheipers reminded Board members that the annual MMA Conference is January 19 and 20<sup>th</sup>. If anyone is interested in attending, the registration form is in the agenda packet.

## 6. Assistant Town Clerk Stipend

Mr. Scheipers reports that until such time as we hire our new Town Clerk she will be asking the Board to approve an on-going stipend for the Assistant's position

Motion Mr. Rucho to approve the stipend, seconded by Mr. Crowley, all in favor. Mr. Rucho requested an update on the hiring.

## **FISP UPDATE:**

### 1. Approval of Invoices:

Caolo & Bieniek Invoice #5644, Police Station - \$4,667.00- Motion Mr. Rucho to approve, seconded by Mr. Crowley, all in favor.

Reinhardt/Caolo & Bieniek Invoice #8, Police Station - \$5,830.00 (old bill) – Motion Mr. Crowley to approve, seconded by Mr. Rucho, all in favor.

Caolo & Bieniek Invoice #5621, Sr. Center - \$24,275.00 - Motion Mr. Rucho to approve, seconded by Mr. Crowley, all in favor.

Cardinal Construction

Invoice, Police Station #19 - \$7,479.81 final invoice - Motion Mr. Rajeshkumar to approve, seconded by Mr. Crowley, all in favor.

Invoice, Sr. Ctr. #4 - \$8,366.00 - Motion Mr. Crowley to approve, seconded by Mr. Rucho, all in favor.

Akuity Invoice, Police Station - \$20,407.22 - Motion Mr. Crowley to approve, seconded by Ms. Bohnson, all in favor.

Akuity Invoice, Police Station - \$1,499.00 - Motion Ms. Bohnson to approve, seconded by Mr. Rucho, all in favor.

Stillwater Computers - \$1,700.00 Motion Ms. Bohnson to approve, seconded by Mr. Rucho, all in favor. Mr. Rucho explained that all the invoices just approved are first reviewed by FISP at their meeting at which time any questions are answered prior to them being on the Board's agenda.

## 2.General Update on Senior Center and Police Station

Mr. Hadley reports that the police station is complete and the senior center sub-committee is working on the budget and they will report to FISP at the next meeting.

## **APPOINTMENTS AND RESIGNATIONS:**

1.Concurrence on the appointment of James Morrissey to the Town-wide Planning Committee as the designee of the school, effective November 16, 2017 for a term to expire on June 30, 2018

Motion Mr. Crowley to concur with the appointment, seconded by Mr. Rajeshkumar, all in favor.

2.Consider approving the hiring of Brittany Blaney-Anderson for the position of Access Coordinator effective November 16, 2017 at a rate of \$17.50 per hour (tentative)

Motion Mr. Rucho to approve the hiring as of November 1 through January 1, seconded by Ms. Bohnson. Mr. Rucho, member of the PEG Board explained that the hours for the position have been reduced to 14 hours a week.

Mr. Rajeshkumar states that he has a lot of questions and disagrees with the appointment. In any area of government the appointment of an employee must be transparent. There were four candidates, including the PEG Coordinator, none were given a fair chance because the PEG Coordinator during the PEG meeting, reported that there were four applicants, one candidate was interviewed by the PEG Coordinator, and the PEG Coordinator was also a candidate for the position. The candidate was not recommended, not due to lack of qualifications as the candidate is qualified, but because of poor management skills. The PEG Coordinator didn't get a timely email response to her email. Later in the meeting an email was ready from the Town Administrator. This email requested the PEG Board to consider the current PEG Coordinator as the Access Coordinator. The Access Coordinator reports to the PEG Coordinator, and based on the email, the PEG Board decided to recommend the PEG Coordinator for the Access Coordinator position. On October 18<sup>th</sup> the hiring was brought to the Board of Selectmen and tabled, and again on November 1 it was tabled. The PEG Board choose not to conduct interview for people who applied for the Access Coordinator position. During the last PEG Board meeting they voted to appoint the current PEG coordinator as Access Coordinator. Why did they choose to ignore other viable candidates and not interview them? How

can the PEG Coordinator work as the Access Coordinator because the Access Coordinator reports to PEG Coordinator? The PEG Coordinator should not be involved in the hiring process in any form because she was a candidate. The PEG Coordinator interviewed the other candidates.

It raises a red flag and the presence of conflict of interest. I did ask the Town Administrator what other candidates applied and can I have the resumes. She said she didn't have a copy of the resumes. Then she sent an email request to PEG Coordinator to send me copies of the other candidates. I still have not received them. In light of this I ask the position be reposted and the opportunity for other candidates to be offered interviews. I believe the Town Administrator should reconsider offering the position to somebody who is not the PEG Coordinator.

Mr. Rajeshkumar states what we are doing by approving this person with three other applications is a violation of trust in town government. Why did the PEG Board not interview any one and why would they hire the current PEG Coordinator as the Access Coordinator. Mr. Rucho states to Mr. Rajeshkumar that if feels we have an ethics violation or a conflict of interest violation he should pursue it. He added that Brittany has been doing the job since July 1 and all of this is running because she is doing the work right now.

Mr. Rucho explained that they discussed this at their meeting and they looked over the applications. One of the applicants has changed his mind and he would like to be a Production Assistant. We need to post the job but we need somebody in place until January 1 so they can go back and look at the issue. The posting is on the website as open until filled. He added that the Board has to look at this and noted that the person doing the job right now doesn't even want the job. Mr. Rajeshkumar stated Brittany said she would not approve the person because of his managerial skills. Mr. Rucho reports that the committee looked at all the applicants last night, four of them, and this is what they decided. Mr. Crowley agrees with Mr. Rajeshkumar. He didn't like the fact that Brittany, acting as the PEG Coordinator, brought the applicants to the PEG Board. She said there are three resumes but they are not good. Then somebody on the PEG board said well you applied as well. He doesn't understand why if she applied why she be bringing the applications forward.

Ms. Scheipers explained that Brittany reviewed the first three applicants for the job to see if they met the minimum qualification. It was not until Brittany reviewed those that Ms. Scheipers suggested to Brittany to apply for the position that she did. Now the PEG board feels they should look at their organization. This will give them time to figure out how it should be structured. Brittany submitted after she reviewed the prior applications. Mr. Crowley stated that the meeting he saw the way it looked to him was there were 3 applicants and somebody on PEG said you (Brittany) applied as well. Mr. Rajeshkumar noted that it was the October 10 PEG Board meeting and he thinks it is dishonest. Mr. Rucho thinks if Mr. Rajeshkumar feels there is an ethics or conflict issue he can file with the state. Mr. Rajeshkumar thinks the other members of the Board will agree with him, we need to protect the town, it is the taxpayer money and everybody should have been given a fair chance. When salary negotiations are going on Brittany is not a PEG Board member. Mr. Rucho explained that is why we need to fill this until January, and the money comes from the cable rate payers. He thinks the PEG Board needs time, the position needs to be posted and we will see if we get any applicants. The issue is either we are not paying enough money or no one wants the job.

Mr. Rajeshkumar stated that the PEG Board chose not to interview any candidates. Ms. Scheipers explained that the Access Coordinator and the PEG Coordinator will be a combined position until the PEG Board can make a decision on this. She just got the applications from Brittany last week. As of last night the PEG Board reviewed them and made the recommendation to

hire Brittney on an interim basis. The applications went to the PEG Coordinator, she was not a candidate for the job, she doesn't want the position. Mr. Hadley believes we need to have somebody do the job for the next 45 days. PEG Board member David Femia states we decided that any other candidate that comes in they would come to the PEG Board for review and they would interview them. He added that we are on the low end when it comes to the money we pay. Mr. Rajeshkumar disagrees, Brittany said there is one qualified candidate but I am not giving the job to him/her because the candidate has poor managerial skills. She said the individual did not respond to her emails quick enough. Mr. Femia added that the PEG Coordinator position is five-hours a week and the Access Coordinator position is 20-hours a week. This was the best solution, they are all new and it is a learning game.

Vote on the motion – Messrs. Hadley and Rucho yes; Messrs. Rajeshkumar, Crowley and Ms. Bohnson no. Mr. Crowley stated that his vote is nothing to do with her abilities, it is about what he saw at the meeting.

Ms. Scheipers noted that Brittney will do the best she can with her five hours a week and she has some good Production Assistants. She will do the best she can to maintain cable TV operations. Ms. Bohnson would like an update when there is something to update. Mr. Rucho states that the PEG Board did vote to pay her back from July 1 for the 14-hours a week she is working to fill in as the Access Coordinator. He added that it is from cable access money and they are trying to make it more transparent.

Motion Mr. Rucho to pay Brittney 14-hours a week from July 1 to November 1, seconded by Ms. Bohnson. Mr. Rajeshkumar questioned why we are going with July 1 when Tim Scanlon the previous Access Coordinator resigned on July 14. He also questioned whether there was a time sheet. David Femia, PEG Board member, explained that he was the one who made the motion to pay her from July 1 through October 31 for fourteen hours at \$17.50 per hour. Mr. Rajeshkumar questioned how they came up with 14 hours. Mr. Femia explained that the position is authorized for 19 hours, however, Brittney is unable to work more than 20 hours a week so they reduced it to 14 and that plus the PEG Coordinator position will bring her to 19 hours a week. Mr. Crowley asked if she filled out time sheets. Mr. Rucho is not sure. Mr. Scheipers explained that the previous coordinator, Tim Scanlon, would show hours worked. The difference with Brittney is that she has been volunteering her time in this role since he left and Ms. Scheipers does not believe she was tracking her time. Because of that Ms. Scheipers suggested a stipend rather than an hourly rate. Rich Simmarano, Equipment Coordinator, for WBPA-TV states that the PEG Coordinator job is a labor of love position. He thinks Brittney should be compensated for her extra effort. The former Access Coordinator did submit time sheets and it goes back to the fact that things need to get organized.

Mr. Crowley states that he has seen tremendous growth in the program. He asked Mr. Simmarano if he felt Brittney put in 14 hours a week. Mr. Simmarano feels she has and noted that every Production Assistant is getting paid for their time. Mr. Crowley used \$17.50 per hour at 14-hours a week, for the 16-week period and came up with a stipend of \$4,000.

Motion Mr. Crowley to amend the motion and provide a stipend to Brittney Blaney-Anderson in the amount of \$4,000 for filling in as Access Coordinator for WBPA-TV from July 14, 2017 through November 1, 2017 seconded by Ms. Bohnson. Mr. Crowley states that he has no problem compensating her for the work she has done. Vote on the motion – Messrs. Hadley, Rucho, Crowley and Ms. Bohnson yes, Mr. Rajeshkumar no.

## MEETINGS, INVITATIONS & ANNOUNCEMENTS:

1.MMA Annual Meeting January 19 & 20, 2018 – deadline to register January 10, 2018

## FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS/SELECTMENS REPORTS:

Mr. Crowley reports that the annual Community Preservation Act match is 17.2%, \$34,577 and since adopting the CPA we have received a total of \$570,645.

Mr. Crowley further reports that the Board had a meeting with ABM and he has no faith with anything in their report. The numbers were fudged and a member of the Finance Committee is looking at this to check out their term numbers. He also reached out to the state energy department to let them know that there is a giant flaw in this system and it would be a great program if they had a third party do the review. Mr. Rucho feels we should discuss this at a future meeting. We all looked at the contract we signed. Mr. Crowley has no doubt that we saved a certain number of terms based on their energy savings overall if they tell us they saved 'x' number of terms and he looks at it and says no then we have an issue. This will be added to an upcoming agenda.

Motion to Adjourn at 9:40 p.m.: Mr. Rucho

Seconded: Mr. Crowley

Result: All in favor

Respectfully submitted,

Approved: December 6, 2017

---

Nancy E. Lucier, Municipal Assistant

---

John W. Hadley, Chairman

---

Christopher A., Vice Chairman

---

Barur R. Rajeshkumar, Clerk

---

Siobhan M. Bohnson, Selectman

---

Patrick J. Crowley, Selectman