Agricultural Commission Meeting Minutes

Meeting date: November 19, 2019

Commission Members Present: Sighle Philbin (chair), James Amaral, Amy Marold, Mollynda

Mcarthur, Daniel Mercurio, Kate Simmarano.

Also attending: Don Varney, Marc Saad, Amy Saad, Dominic Scalera

6:34pm Sighle moved to open meeting; Dan seconded.

- 1. Review and Approve minutes from October 15, 2019 meeting
 - a. Minutes were distributed, all members in attendance reviewed them
 - b. Unanimous vote to accept minutes as written.
- 2. Appoint executive board for the commission
 - a. Amy nominated Dan for co-chair, Molly seconded; Dan accepted nomination
 - b. Nomination confirmed by unanimous vote
 - c. Sighle agreed to continue as co-chair
 - d. Other administrative topics discussed
 - i. Sighle reviewed the original AgCom (Agricultural Commission) guidelines from the town administrator from the time the commission was formed
 - ii. Reviewed definition of a "farm" per the state of Massachusetts
- 3. Discuss Board of Health (BOH) farm related topics
 - a. At their most recent meeting BOH voted to table their proposed regulations. They expect to pass some form of regulations by May 1st, 2020
 - b. BOH requests a preliminary draft of our proposed regulations by their January meeting; AgCom and BOH will then collaborate on final
- 4. Dan reviewed draft on AgCom's non-commercial farm animal regulations
 - a. Basis for regulations
 - i. Regulations should reflect the complaints that have been documented
 - ii. Based on conversations with towns folk the biggest concerns are permitting, fines, and zoning
 - iii. Regulations should focus on dense neighborhoods/small lots (<0.5 acre) as these properties are involved in most complaints
 - b. Discussion of draft regulations
 - i. The AgCom is an advisory body only, unlike the BOH which has the ability to create regulations
 - ii. AgCom does have the power to actively take part in conflict resolution in situations involving farms and backyard animal keepers
 - iii. The AgCom feels that we should meet with the town's animal control officer to better understand what assistance he would like from us.
 - iv. A community member, Dominic Scalera, noted that the BOH's proposed regulations will affect the whole town, although there are only a few addresses

which have created issues; he questioned why the AgCom has not pushed to prevent any regulations from taking effect.

- Complaints, especially those involving chickens, have been problematic for many years, the BOH wants to enact regulations to help prevent them
- 2. The AgCom can help ensure proposed regulations are fair and informed
- 3. A citizen's petition could be initiated to alter or create town by-laws, however, regulations created by the BOH cannot be overwritten by such a petition. Any resident can petition, regardless of final outcome, significant costs will be incurred by the Town
- v. Permits and regulations will not change behaviors; but they will allow violations to be addressed
 - 1. It may be difficult to create hard and fast rules about the number of animals (especially chickens) that can be kept at a given property
 - 2. "Registration" of animals might be better accepted than issuing "permits"
 - 3. Regulations could be reviewed during the registration/permitting process
 - 4. AgCom can offer advice to townsfolk planning the purchase of animals (where to place coop, how to manage manure, etc.)
- Dan noted the possibility of creating Minimum Management Practices for keeping animals; all animal keepers would have to attest that they have read and understand them
- 5. Amy Discussed her correspondence with Massachusetts Association of Agricultural Commissions (MAAC)
 - a. The individual contacts on the website may be out of date; the site has not been updated recently
 - b. No response had been received from the organization's email
 - c. The website does have links to useful information, but not information that would be helpful in our current situation with the BOH
 - d. West Boylston's AgCom is already a MAAC member
- 6. Additional Links to the webpage on the town website
 - a. Links were distributed and reviewed
 - b. Sighle moved to accept, James seconded
 - c. Unanimous vote to add links to web page
- 7. Discussed an AgCom Facebook and other social media page(s)
 - a. Town administrator cautioned strongly about the use of social media
 - b. Social media accounts would need to be heavily controlled by account administrators
 - c. Would be a good way to stay visible for those who need advice on agricultural matters
 - d. Discussion tabled at this time

7:53pm Sighle moved to adjourn, Kate seconded; meeting adjourned